

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/310651058>

The Suez Canal as a revolving door for marine species: A reply to Galil et al. (2016)

Article in *Aquatic Invasions* · January 2017

DOI: 10.3391/ai.2017.12.1.01

CITATIONS

0

READS

352

8 authors, including:



Manuel Malaquias

University of Bergen

76 PUBLICATIONS 788 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Dyana Vitale

Università degli Studi di Messina

5 PUBLICATIONS 11 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Andrea Spinelli

Università degli Studi di Messina

18 PUBLICATIONS 35 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Sergio De Matteo

Università degli Studi di Messina

10 PUBLICATIONS 28 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Nudipleura of Mozambique [View project](#)



Biodiversity study of Syllidae family (Polychaeta) of Cádiz [View project](#)

All content following this page was uploaded by [Andrea Spinelli](#) on 20 February 2017.

The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file.

Letter to the Editor

The Suez Canal as a revolving door for marine species: a reply to Galil et al. (2016)

Manuel António E. Malaquias^{1,*}, Andrea Zamora-Silva¹, Dyana Vitale², Andrea Spinelli², Sergio De Matteo², Salvatore Giacobbe², Deneb Ortigosa³ and Juan L. Cervera³

¹Phylogenetic Systematics and Evolution Research Group, Section of Taxonomy and Evolution, Department of Natural History, University Museum of Bergen, University of Bergen, PB 7800, 5020-Bergen, Norway

²Department of Chemical, Biological, Pharmaceutical and Environmental Sciences, University of Messina, Viale F. Stagno d'Alcontres, 31, 98166 Messina, Italy

³Department of Biology, Faculty of Marine and Environmental Sciences, University of Cádiz, International Campus of Excellence on the Sea (CEIMAR), Polígono del Río San Pedro s/n, Apdo. 40, 11510 Puerto Real (Cádiz), Spain

*Corresponding author

E-mail: Manuel.Malaquias@uib.no

Received: 25 October 2016 / Accepted: 27 October 2016 / Published online: 22 November 2016

Handling editor: John Mark Hanson

Abstract

In this reply, we address the criticism directed recently to our work (Malaquias et al. 2016) by Galil et al. (2016) dismissing the hypothesis that the Suez Canal can act as a revolving door allowing marine species to move both ways between the Red Sea and the Mediterranean Sea. We reiterate that the presence in the Red Sea of the Indo-West Pacific sea slug *Chelidonura fulvipunctata* Baba, 1938 is most parsimoniously explained by an invasion from the Mediterranean and highlight several recent studies combining morphology and molecular phylogenetics where established views about the presence of alien Indo-Pacific / Red Sea species in the Mediterranean were proven wrong. Caution is suggested when assuming the conspecificity of species between these realms based solely on external features.

Key words: alien species, anti-Lessepsian migration, *Chelidonura fulvipunctata*, Gastropoda, Mediterranean Sea, Red Sea

In a recent paper (Malaquias et al. 2016), we postulated (using available DNA data, morphology, and geographical records) that the Indo-West Pacific species of sea slug *Chelidonura fulvipunctata* Baba, 1938, invasive and widespread in the Mediterranean Sea, did not enter this realm directly from the Red Sea (which would be Lessepsian immigration). Instead, it entered by a different route, and its occurrence in the Red Sea was a result of an anti-Lessepsian movement with specimens entering the Red Sea from the Mediterranean with the Suez Canal acting as a “revolving door” that allows species to move into and out of the Mediterranean Sea. Galil et al. (2016) commented on our paper and questioned our conclusions. In this reply, we address these concerns.

We fully agree with Galil et al. (2016) that “Identifying the geographic origin and the pathways of introduction are of major importance for management of bioinvasions” and that “... care should be

taken to provide scientists, regulators and stakeholders with as accurate information as possible”. And that is why we believe that conclusions and hypotheses have to be drawn from available facts and not from hypothetical arguments.

In their point E, Galil et al. (2016) insist on the idea that the Red Sea is a poorly studied basin as a putative argument to justify the lack of records of *C. fulvipunctata* in this realm and cites evidence from Yonow (2015) in which 73 species are documented for the first time in the period subsequent to the publication of the book “Sea slugs of the Red Sea” (Yonow 2008). As with almost any other large marine basin in the world, it is likely that new species records and even new species to Science will continue being found in the Red Sea, but this does preclude the fact that this marine basin has a long record of works dedicated to the study of sea slugs beginning in the late 18th century (see Yonow 2008:

pages 14–17). This work clearly continues to the present as the documenting of 73 new species records (Yonow 2015) most certainly demonstrates. Recent sea slug knowledge in the Red Sea does not result only from sporadic activities of amateur naturalists and divers who publish their underwater photographic images online but also comes from dedicated scientific activities such as those organized recently by the California Academy of Sciences and the King Abdullah University of Science & Technology in the Saudi Red Sea in 2013 (T Gosliner, California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, CA, USA; personal communication) or the sampling program for opisthobranchs undertaken by the University of Tübingen, Germany between 2005–2007 in Mangrove Bay (central coast of Egypt) (N Anthes, University of Tübingen, Germany; personal communication). These last two examples did not generate monographs of Red Sea fauna like those of Yonow (2000, 2008, 2015); however, the results are included in revisions of specific groups or general identification guides of sea slugs from the Indo-Pacific region (e.g., Anthes et al. 2008; Gosliner et al. 2015).

Future research will certainly yield new species records for the Red Sea, but that is also the case in what is probably the best studied marine basin in the World—the Mediterranean Sea (Gosliner et al. 2008). In the Mediterranean Sea, species new to Science are still being found and described, including few recent examples of sea slugs: *Doto alidrisi* Ortea, Moro and Ocaña, 2010; *Doto caballa* Ortea, Moro and Ocaña, 2010; *Philine iris* Tringali, 2001; *Philinopsis miqueli* Pelorce, Horst and Hoarau, 2013; *Facelinopsis pacodelucia* Ortea, Moro and Caballer, 2014; *Piseinotecus soussi* Tamsouri, Carmona, Moukrim and Cervera, 2014; *Tritonia coralliumrubri* Doneddu, Sacco and Trainito, 2014; and *Tambja mediterranea* Domínguez, Pola and Ramón, 2015 (Tringali 2001; Ortea et al. 2010; Pelorce et al. 2013; Doneddu et al. 2014; Ortea et al. 2014; Tamsouri et al. 2014; Domínguez et al. 2015).

The only evidence confirming the occurrence of the conspicuous, shallow-water, sea slug *C. fulvipunctata* in the Red Sea consists of two photographic records made in the Gulf of Aqaba on the northernmost part of the realm (Koretz 2005; Lederman 2005a). And yet, the species is common across the Indo-West Pacific and has been already reported in the Mediterranean Sea nine times (see Malaquias et al. 2016 for details).

Galil et al. (2016) presented several examples of what they consider cases similar to *C. fulvipunctata*; including species described from the Mediterranean Sea later found to be widespread in the Red Sea and even Indo-Pacific (point D of their Letter). It might

be the case that future studies will demonstrate that *C. fulvipunctata* is widespread and native in the Red Sea, but our work (Malaquias et al. 2016) is based on the most parsimonious interpretation of the facts at hand and tries to avoid speculation. As putative comparable examples, Galil et al. (2016) mentioned the nudibranch *Melibe viridis* (Kelaart, 1858) until recently only known from several localities in the Indo-Pacific and Mediterranean Sea, but not from the Red Sea. Thus it was concluded to have invaded the Mediterranean by other sources other than a Lessepsian migration (Zenetos et al. 2003). Yet, because this species has recently been found in the Red Sea (reported in Yonow 2015), Galil et al. (2016) presumed it to be native in the latter realm and explained its presence in the Mediterranean since the 1980's as the result of an invasion from the Red Sea. This is a personal interpretation of the authors (Galil et al. 2016) who offer no solid evidence that would lead one to reject the hypothesis that *Melibe viridis* could have entered the Red Sea from the Mediterranean. In this particular case, we are talking about a large species (10–20 cm long) that occurs in shallow waters among seagrass and, therefore, easily accessible to divers and researchers. Another example invoked by the authors is the colorful cephalaspid *Haminoea cyanomarginata* Heller and Thompson, 1983 described in 1983 from the Sudanese coast in the central part of the Red Sea (Heller and Thompson 1983) and reported later in the northern part of this basin at least seven times (Lederman 2005b, c, d; Koretz and Koretz 2005, 2006), therefore spread over a vast area of the Red Sea and known to be present in the Mediterranean since 2004 (Yokeş and Rudman 2004). It is difficult to understand how can this compare with the case of *C. fulvipunctata*, a species described from Japan (Baba 1938), and as mentioned earlier, widely distributed in the Indo-West Pacific and also in the Mediterranean Sea where it was first reported in 1961 (Swennen 1961; Malaquias et al. 2016), and yet only observed in the Red Sea twice during 2005 on the northernmost part of the realm (Gulf of Aqaba).

Galil et al. (2016; point A of the Letter) have apparently misinterpreted the goal of our molecular phylogenetic analysis, which never intended to support or refute the Mediterranean origin of the Red Sea specimens of *C. fulvipunctata*, but only to yield evidence for the conspecificity of the Mediterranean and Indo-West Pacific specimens.

Moreover, caution is necessary prior to assumption of the conspecificity of biological entities between Red Sea / Indo-Pacific and Mediterranean faunistic elements because of cryptic speciation and pervasive polychromatic patterns. The latter is well documented

in heterobranch gastropods (sea slugs *sensu lato*; Ornelas-Gatdula et al. 2011; Padula et al. 2016). Most comparisons of alien fauna between these realms are based on morphological similarities, but there are several recent examples of sea slug “species” that look very similar but actually are different entities. Pertinent to this geographical context is the recent case of the “alien” nudibranch species *Anteaeolidiella indica* (Bergh, 1888) reported in the Mediterranean Sea and broadly distributed across the Indo-Pacific (Zenetos et al. 2003; Carmona et al. 2014). Based on external morphology, this “species” was considered to be a single entity with a global distribution, and its presence in the Mediterranean Sea was assumed to be the result of transport on ship hulls moving from the Indian Ocean. Yet, recently, Carmona et al. (2014) using anatomical and DNA characters showed that *A. indica* is a complex of species and that the Mediterranean form is a different biological entity from those inhabiting the Indo-Pacific. Another example is the *Berthellina citrina* (Rüppell and Leuckart, 1828) species complex. This strikingly colored (orange-red), large, shallow-water sea slug species with type locality in the Red Sea has been reported several times from the Mediterranean (Eales 1970; Thompson 1976; Barash and Danin, 1977; Cattaneo-Vietti 1986; and more recently by Yonow 2008: 110), but Cervera et al. (2004) suggested these records actually are misidentifications of the similar eastern Atlantic-Mediterranean species *B. edwardsii* (Vayssi re, 1897). Recently Moustafa et al. (2016) showed, with anatomical and molecular phylogenetic data, that the Red Sea harbors two distinct species of orange-red pleurobranchid sea slugs (*B. citrina* and *B. delicata* (Pease, 1861)) that are distinct from the Mediterranean orange-Red Sea slug *Berthellina* (= *B. edwardsii*).

In summary, we stand by our conclusion that the presence of *C. fulvipunctata* in the Red Sea is “...more parsimoniously explained by an expansion from the Mediterranean into the Red Sea rather than dispersal from the Indo-West Pacific to the Red Sea” (Malaquias et al. 2016). Nevertheless, in the last paragraph of the discussion of our original paper, we left room for alternative scenarios and went further to explain how competing hypothesis could be tested, highlighting simultaneously the difficulties of doing it at present because of lack of samples from the Red Sea.

It is critical that scientists, regulators, and stakeholders, in this specific case those concerned with the movement of species across the Suez Canal and its ecological implications, be provided with reliable and accurate information rooted in high

quality taxonomic practice based ideally on integrative approaches rather than simple comparison of external resemblances. Moreover, in a period of global climate change characterized by rising water temperatures in many locations (Bianchi 2007), the scientific community must remain alert to the possible “revolving door” effect of the now enlarged Suez Canal and bidirectional movements of species between the Mediterranean and Red Sea.

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to Nils Anthes (University of T bingen, Germany) and Terence Gosliner (California Academy of Sciences) for making available details about sampling activities in the Red Sea and to John Mark Hanson (co-Editor-in-Chief of *Aquatic Invasions*) for the opportunity to publish this reply and for his helpful comments on the manuscript.

References

- Anthes N, Schulenburg H, Michiels NK (2008) Evolutionary links between reproductive morphology, ecology and mating behavior in opisthobranch gastropods. *Evolution* 62: 900–916, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00326.x>
- Baba B (1938) Opisthobranchia of Kii, middle Japan. *Journal of the Department of Agriculture, Kyusyu Imperial University* 6: 1–19
- Barash A, Danin Z (1977) Additions to the knowledge of Indo-Pacific Mollusca in the Mediterranean. *La Conchiglia* 13: 85–116
- Bianchi CN (2007) Biodiversity issues for the forthcoming tropical Mediterranean Sea. *Hydrobiologia* 580: 7–21, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-006-0469-5>
- Carmona L, Bhawe V, Salunkhe R, Pola M, Gosliner TM, Cervera JL (2014) Systematic review of *Anteaeolidiella* (Mollusca, Nudibranchia, Aeolidiidae) based on morphological and molecular data, with a description of three new species. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 171: 108–132, <https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12129>
- Cattaneo-Vietti R (1986) On Pleurobranchomorpha from Italian seas (Mollusca: Opisthobranchia). *The Veliger* 28: 302–309
- Cervera JL, Calado G, Gavaia C, Malaquias MAE, Templado J, Ballesteros M, Garcia-G mez JC, Megina C (2004) An annotated and updated checklist of the opisthobranchs (Mollusca: Gastropoda) from Spain and Portugal (including islands and archipelagos). *Bolet n del Instituto Espa ol de Oceanograf a* 20: 5–111
- D  nguez M, Pola M, Ram  n M (2015) A new species of *Tambja* (Mollusca, Gastropoda, Nudibranchia) from the Mediterranean Sea: description of the first species of the genus from the Balearic Islands and Malta. *Helgoland Marine Research* 69: 205–212, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10152-015-0429-4>
- Doneddu M, Sacco F, Trainito E (2014) Una nuova specie di *Tritonia* Cuvier 1798 (Opisthobranchia: Nudibranchia: Tritoniidae) dalla Sardegna occidentale associata al corallo rosso mediterraneo, *Corallium rubrum* (Linn  , 1758). In: Trainito E, Doneddu M (eds), *Nudibranchi del Mediterraneo. Il Castello, Cornaredo*, pp 77–79
- Eales NB (1970) On the migration of tectibranch molluscs from the Red Sea to the Eastern Mediterranean. *Journal of Molluscan Studies* 39: 217–220
- Galil BS, Douek J, Mienis HK, Rinkevich B (2016) Comments on “The Mediterranean Sea as a gateway for invasion of the Red Sea: the case of the Indo-West Pacific head-shield slug *Chelidonura fulvipunctata* Baba, 1938” by Manuel Ant  nio E. Malaquias, Andrea Zamora-Silva, Dyana Vitale, Andrea Spinelli, Sergio De Matteo, Salvatore Giacobbe, Deneb Ortigosa and Juan L. Cervera. *Aquatic Invasions* 11: 351–354, <https://doi.org/10.3391/ai.2016.11.4.01>

- Gosliner TM, Cervera JL, Ghiselin MT (2008) Scientific Exploration in the Mediterranean Region Biodiversity of the Mediterranean Opisthobranch Gastropod Fauna: Historical and Phylogenetic Perspectives. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences* 59, Supplement I, pp 117–137
- Gosliner TM, Valdés Á, Behrens DW (2015) Nudibranchs & Sea Slugs Identification. Indo-Pacific. New World Publications, Inc., Jacksonville, Florida, USA, 408 pp
- Heller J, Thompson TE (1983) Opisthobranch molluscs of the Sudanese Red Sea. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 78: 317–348, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1975.tb02263.x>
- Koretz B (2005) *Chelidonura fulvipunctata* from the Red Sea. [Message in Sea Slug Forum (Apr 23)]. Australian Museum, Sydney. Available from: <http://www.seaslugforum.net/find/13596>
- Koretz B, Koretz S (2005) (September 2005) *Haminoea cyanomarginata* from Eilat. Available from: <http://www.koretz.net/Eilat/Invertebrates/Molluscs/Opisthobranchs/Pages/Hcyanomarginata-1.htm>
- Koretz B, Koretz S (2006) (February 2006) *Haminoea cyanomarginata* from Eilat. Available from: <http://www.koretz.net/Eilat/Invertebrates/Molluscs/Opisthobranchs/Pages/Hcyanomarginata-6.htm>
- Lederman O (2005a) (22 July 2005) *Chelidonura fulvipunctata*. Available from: <https://lederman.smugmug.com/Underwater/Nudibranchs/The-Red-Sea/i-hfzKtS3>
- Lederman O (2005b) (March 15) *Haminoea cyanomarginata* from Eilat. [Message in Sea Slug Forum]. Australian Museum, Sydney. Available from: <http://www.seaslugforum.net/find/13307>
- Lederman O (2005c) (May 17) More *Haminoea cyanomarginata* from Red Sea. [Message in Sea Slug Forum]. Australian Museum, Sydney. Available from: <http://www.seaslugforum.net/find/13795>
- Lederman O (2005d) (Aug 12) Another *Haminoea cyanomarginata* from the Red Sea. [Message in Sea Slug Forum]. Australian Museum, Sydney. Available from: <http://www.seaslugforum.net/find/14543>
- Malaquias MAE, Zamora-Silva A, Vitale D, Spinelli A, De Matteo S, Giacobbe S, Ortigosa D, Cervera JL (2016) The Mediterranean Sea as a gateway for invasion of the Red Sea: the case of the Indo-West Pacific head-shield slug *Chelidonura fulvipunctata* Baba, 1938. *Aquatic Invasions* 11: 247–255, <https://doi.org/10.3391/ai.2016.11.3.03>
- Moustafa AY, Golestani H, Valdés Á (2016) A review of the genus *Berthellina* (Mollusca: Heterobranchia: Pleurobranchidae) in the Red Sea based on molecular and anatomical data. *Marine Biodiversity*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-016-0558-2>
- Ornelas-Gatdula E, Dupont A, Valdés Á (2011) The tail tells the tale: taxonomy and biogeography of some Atlantic *Chelidonura* (Gastropoda: Cephalaspidea: Aglajidae) inferred from nuclear and mitochondrial gene data. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 163: 1077–1095, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2011.00749.x>
- Ortea J, Moro L, Oca-a O, Bacallado JJ (2010) Contribución al estudio del género *Doto* Oken, 1815 (Mollusca: Nudibranchia) en Ceuta (Espana) con la descripción de nuevas especies. *Revista de la Academia Canaria de Ciencias* 21: 81–91
- Ortea J, Moro L, Bacallado JJ, Caballer M (2014) Música y naturaleza: Descripción de dos especies nuevas de babosas marinas (Mollusca: Gastropoda) colectadas entre dos aguas, Algeciras y Cancún, nombradas en honor de Paco de Lucía y su obra. *Revista de la Academia Canaria de Ciencias* 26: 281–292
- Padula V, Bahia J, Stöger I, Camacho-García Y, Malaquias MAE, Cervera JL, Schrödl M (2016) A test of color-based taxonomy in nudibranchs: Molecular phylogeny and species delimitation of the *Felimida clenchi* (Mollusca: Chromodorididae) species complex. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 103: 215–229, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2016.07.019>
- Pelorce J, Horst D, Hoarau A (2013) Une nouvelle espèce de la famille Aglajidae (Gastropoda: Opisthobranchia) des côtes de Méditerranée française. *Iberus* 31: 165–170
- Swennen C (1961) On a collection of Opisthobranchia from Turkey. *Zoologische Mededelingen* 38: 41–75
- Tamsouri N, Carmona L, Moukrim A, Cervera JL (2014) Description of a new species of *Piseinotecus* (Gastropoda, Heterobranchia, Piseinotecidae) from northeastern Atlantic. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 90: 991–997, <https://doi.org/10.5343/bms.2014.1019>
- Thompson TE (1976) Biology of opisthobranch molluscs, volume 1. The Ray Society, London, 207 pp
- Tringali LP (2001) Marine malacological records (Gastropoda, Prosobranchia, Heterobranchia, Opisthobranchia and Pulmonata) from Torres de Alcalá, Mediterranean Morocco, with the description of a new philinid species. *Bollettino Malacologico* 37: 207–222
- Yokeş B, Rudman WB (2004) Lessepsian opisthobranchs from southwestern coast of Turkey; five new records for Mediterranean. *Rapports et Procès-Verbaux des Réunions, Commission Internationale pour l'Exploration Scientifique de la mer Méditerranée* 37: 557
- Yonow N (2000) Red Sea Opisthobranchia 4: the orders Cephalaspidea, Anaspidea, Notaspidea, and Nudibranchia: Dendronotacea and Aeolidacea. *Fauna of Arabia* 18: 87–131
- Yonow N (2008) Sea Slugs of the Red Sea. Pensoft Publishers, Sofia, Bulgaria, 304 pp
- Yonow N (2015) Sea Slugs: Unexpected Biodiversity and Distribution. In: Rasul NMA, Stewart ICF (eds), The Red Sea. Springer Earth System Sciences, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany, pp 531–550, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-45201-1_30
- Zenetos A, Gofas S, Russo G, Templado J (2003) CIESM Atlas of Exotic Species in the Mediterranean. Vol. 3 Molluscs. F. Briand (ed), CIESM Publishers, Monaco, 376 pp